1 400 M

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Plaintiff, 19 764

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

Complaint

- 1. A jury trial is demanded.
- 2. Plaintiff is Margaret Crossett ("Mrs. Crossett"), a married individual residing at 106 Stair Lane, Shohola, Pike County, Pennsylvania who is a citizen of Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant, United Parcel Service, Inc. ("UPS"), is a corporation incorporated in Ohio with its principal place of business at 55 Glendale Parkway NE, Sandy Springs, Georgia.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. Plaintiff brings these claims before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania invoking its jurisdiction based upon complete diversity of citizenship and as the amount in controversy is in excess of \$75,000 under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(a).
- UPS has offices in and regularly conducts business in the territory covered by the
 Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 6. UPS is registered with the Pennsylvania Department of State as Entity Number 369521 to do business in Pennsylvania as a foreign company incorporated in Georgia.

- 7. UPS is a Pennsylvania resident for purposes under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2), which provides that an entity "shall be deemed to reside, if a defendant, in any judicial district in which such defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to the civil action in question." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2).
- 8. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District Pennsylvania under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because UPS, the sole defendant in this action, resides in this district for venue purposes under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2).
- 9. Mrs. Crossett is presently residing and receiving medical treatment for the injuries sustained in the instant matter in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania within the territory of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

The Accident

- 10. North Nassau Drive is a two-lane country road in Averill Park, Rensselaer County, New York.
- 11. Blue Heron Drive is a dead-end two-lane drive that terminates upon intersecting with North Nassau Drive.
- 12. A stop sign on Blue Heron Drive requires motorists traveling on Blue Heron Drive to stop before entering North Nassau Drive.
- 13. There is no stop sign or other traffic control signal on North Nassau Drive and thus cyclists traveling on North Nassau Drive have the right of way.
- 14. In the afternoon of June 7, 2017, Mrs. Crossett was operating her road bicycle as part of her training for a triathlon, traveling southeast on North Nassau Drive approaching Blue Heron Drive which was on her right side.

- 15. She was an experienced cyclist and, at age 68, was a high-level athlete—having completed a full marathon the year before.
- 16. On that day at approximately 4:30 p.m., as Mrs. Crossett was approaching Blue Heron Drive on her bicycle, she observed the UPS Truck traveling on Blue Heron Drive toward North Nassau Drive.
- 17. New York's Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1172(a) requires that every driver of a vehicle approaching a stop sign shall stop at a clearly marked stop line, or if none, at the point nearest the intersecting roadway where the driver has view of the approaching traffic on the intersecting roadway before entering the intersection and the right to proceed shall be subject to the provisions of Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1142(a).
- 18. Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1142(a) provides in relevant part that every driver of a vehicle approaching a stop sign shall stop as required and after having stopped shall yield the right of way to any vehicle which has entered the intersection from another highway or which is approaching so closely on said highway as to constitute an immediate hazard during the time when such driver is moving across or within the intersection.
- 19. Despite the fact that Mrs. Crossett had the right of way, the UPS Truck failed to stop as required by Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1172(a) and further failed to yield as required by Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1142(a) as Mrs. Crossett was so closely approaching the intersection as to make it impossible for the UPS Truck to enter the intersection safely.
- 20. The UPS Truck continued to travel without stopping or yielding and traveled as if it would imminently enter onto North Nassau Drive and strike Mrs. Crossett.
- 21. Because the UPS Truck was traveling into Mrs. Crossett's lane, in order to avoid a catastrophic collision with the UPS truck, Mrs. Crossett took reasonably appropriate evasive action

by applying her brakes and this action caused her to be thrown violently to the ground (the "Crash").

- 22. There were no other vehicles present in the immediate visible area of the Crash at the time of the Crash.
- 23. The sole reason that Mrs. Crossett applied her brakes was in order to avoid being struck by the UPS Truck that was moving directly and imminently into the path of Mrs. Crossett.
- 24. The violence of the crash is shown by Mrs. Crossett's traumatic brain injury despite the fact that she was wearing a bicycle helmet at the time of the Crash.
- 25. At all relevant times, and based on information and belief, the UPS Truck was being driven by Ben Medina ("Mr. Medina").
- 26. Mr. Medina was driving the UPS Truck and acting as an agent of and for the benefit of UPS and was within the course and scope of his duties as an authorized agent of UPS.
 - 27. UPS is liable for such actions done by Mr. Medina by virtue of respondent superior.
 - 28. The Crash was caused by the carelessness and negligence of UPS which included:
 - a. Operating the UPS Truck in violation of New York's Vehicle & Traffic Law §
 1172(a) and § 1142(a);
 - operating the UPS Truck at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances then and there existing;
 - c. beginning to make an improper entrance to a highway;
 - failing to observe due care and precaution and to maintain proper and adequate control of the UPS Truck;
 - e. failing to keep a proper lookout for cyclists lawfully upon the roadway; and

- f. failing to exercise reasonable care in the operation of the UPS Truck under the circumstances then and there existing.
- 29. The acts of UPS through its agent was the direct and proximate cause of the Crash.
- 30. There was no third-party action that contributed to cause the Crash.
- 31. The Crash was the direct and proximate cause of the following serious physical and mental injuries suffered by Mrs. Crossett (collectively the "Injuries"):
 - a. displaced fracture of the clavicle;
 - b. six broken ribs;
 - c. collapsed right lung;
 - d. plural effusion;
 - e. chest injuries;
 - f. displaced fracture of the manubrium;
- g. concussion and traumatic brain injury with resulting major neurocognitive disorder and significant impairment of ability to read;
 - h. memory loss;
 - i. loss of concentration;
 - j. right ankle injury;
 - k. right foot injury;
 - 1. lumbar strain;
 - m. left hip injury;
 - n. multiple abrasions and contusions;
 - o. left knee injuries; and
 - p. left elbow and left hand injury with loss of feeling in fingers.

- 32. As a result of the Injuries, Mrs. Crossett has suffered and continues to suffer economic loss, significant pain, inconvenience, discomfort, and loss of life's pleasures.
- 33. Mrs. Crossett is continuing to receive medical treatment for her Injuries and thus the full extent of such Injuries is not presently known.
- 34. As a result of the Injuries, Mrs. Crossett, has undergone surgery, and her daily activities have been and continue to be significantly limited.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Margaret Crossett, hereby respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant, United Parcel Service, Inc., in an amount in excess of \$75,000 plus interest, costs, delay damages and any such further relief as this Court deems fit and just.

Dated: 2 18 2019

By:

David W. Crossett, Esquire Attorney I.D. #313031

CORNERSTONE LAW-FIRM, LLC

8500 Allentown Pike, Suite 3

Blandon, PA 19510 (610) 926-7875 Counsel for Plaintiff Case 2:19-cv-00764-MMB Document 1 Filed 02/21/19 Page 7 of 9

AMB

CIVIL COVER SHEET

19-CV-76

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained heretine either replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

dipose of minating the civil do	eket sticet. (BLL INSTRUCT	TOTAL OF THE TAIL OF THE	THE TOTAL			
. (a) PLAINTIFFS		\Diamond	DEFENDANTS	19	764	
Margaret Crossett			United Parcel Service, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pike (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(EX	CEPI IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA.	523)/	NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A David W. Crossett, Esqui 8500 Allentown Pike, Sui 610-926-7875	re, Cornerstone Law F	firm, LLC	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 690 Other	28 USC 137	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
& Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical	365 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
	Medical Malpractice	Product Elability	Leave Act		☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes	
	Employment	Other:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application			
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions			
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition	Actions			
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Pay Only)	Columnia				
Original 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Anothe	er District Litigatio	n - Litigation -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta		Directric	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. Section					
VI. CRUBE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Diversity - Motor					
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		75,000.00	JURY DEMAND		
VIII. RELATED CAS			. 0,000.00			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	FEB 21 2019	
DATE 2/18/7019	_	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	NEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

Case 2:19-cv-00764-MMB Document 1 Filed 02/21/19 Page 8 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

19

764

(to be used by counsel or pro se plain)	DESIGNATION FORM tiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)
Address of Plaintiff:	106 Stair Lane, Shohola, PA, 18337
	55 Glendale Parkway NE, Sandy Springs, GA 30328
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Averill Park, Rensselaer County, New York
	American de la constantina della constantina del
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number	Judge: Date Terminated
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answer	ed to any of the following questions:
Is this case related to property included in an operation previously terminated action in this court?	earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No
Does this case involve the same issue of fact of pending or within one year previously termina	or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No
Does this case involve the validity or infringen numbered case pending or within one year pre-	
Is this case a second or successive habeas corcase filed by the same individual?	pus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in
DATE . 2/21/2019	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney ID * (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, an 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antirust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1. Insurance Contracts 2. Atrplane Personal Injury 3 Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal Injury 5 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7 Products Liability 8 Products Liability Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify)
	- POPTO A TION CONTINUE TION
ı, David W. Crossett, Esquire	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION feet of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration; counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive	e of interest and costs:
00/40/0040	313031
DATE 02/10/2019	Morney-at-Law Pro Se Planniff Attorney I D # (if applicable)
NOTE A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	c has been compliance with FRC P 38

Cay 609 (3/2018)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MARGARET CROSSET	•	CIVIL ACTION		
v.	•	19	764	•
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.	•	NO.	103	

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

February 18, 2019 David W. Crossett, Esquire Plaintiff - Margaret Crosse Attorney-at-law Attorney for	tt				
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(X)				
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	\mathcal{L}				
 d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. 					
c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					

Date David W. Crossett, Esquire Plaintiff - Margaret Crossett

Attorney-at-law Attorney for

610-926-7875 484-930-0054 david@cornerstonelaw.us

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

FEB 21 2019

FEB 21 2019